OPEN

Association of Genetic Polymorphisms on VEGFA and VEGFR2 With Risk of Coronary Heart Disease

Doxing Liu, MD, Jiantao Song, MD, Xianfei Ji, MD, Zunqi Liu, MD, Mulin Cong, MD, and Bo Hu, MD

Abstract: Coronary heart disease (CHD) is a cardiovascular disease which is contributed by abnormal neovascularization. *VEGFA (vascular endothelial growth factor A)* and *VEGFR2 (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2)* have been revealed to be involved in the pathological angiogenesis. This study was intended to confirm whether single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of *VEGFA* and *VEGFR2* were associated with CHD in a Chinese population, considering pathological features and living habits of CHD patients.

Peripheral blood samples were collected from 810 CHD patients and 805 healthy individuals. Six tag SNPs within *VEGFA* and *VEGFR2* were obtained from HapMap Database. Genotyping of SNPs was performed using SNapShot method (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA). Odd ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated to evaluate the association between SNPs and CHD risk.

Under the allelic model, 6 SNPs of *VEGFA* and *VEGFR2* were remarkably associated with the susceptibility to CHD. Genotype CT of rs3025039, TT of rs2305948, and AA of rs1873077 were associated with a reduced risk of CHD when smoking, alcohol intake and diabetes were considered, while homozygote GG of rs1570360 might elevate the susceptibility to CHD (all P < 0.05) for patients who were addicted to smoking or those with hypertension. All of the combined effects of rs699947 (CC/CA) and rs2305948 (TT), rs3025039 (TT) and rs2305948 (TT), rs3025039 (CT) and rs1870377 (AA) had positive effects on the risk of CHD, respectively (all P < 0.05). By contrast, the synthetic effects of rs669947 (CA/AA) and rs1870377 (TA), rs699947 (CA) and rs7667298 (GG), rs699947 (AA) and rs7667298 (GG), rs1570360 (GG) and rs1870377 (AA) all exhibited adverse effects on the risk of CHD, respectively (all P < 0.05).

Six polymorphisms in *VEGFA* and *VEGFR2* may have substantial influence on the susceptibility to CHD in a Han Chinese population. Prospective cohort studies should be further designed to confirm the above conclusions.

(Medicine 95(19):e3413)

Abbreviations: CHD = coronary heart disease, CI = confidence interval, HWE = Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, MAF = minor

Editor: Salvatore Patana

- DL and JS contributed to this work equally. This study was supported by the National Youth Science Fund Project
- (81400326) and Shandong Provincial Medical and Health Science and Technology Development Project (2013WS0107).

allele frequency, OR = odd ratio, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism, VEGFA = vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR2 = vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2.

INTRODUCTION

C oronary heart disease (CHD) is a cardiovascular disease with high morbidity and mortality, contributing to a total of 379,559 deaths in America in 2010.^{1–3} Moreover, about 75% deaths resulted from CHD occurred in underdeveloped and developing countries.^{4–6} The development of CHD could be attributed to genetic predisposition and nongenetic risk factors, including smoking status, alcohol consumption, stress, diabetes, and lack of exercise.⁷ The interaction between genetic and nongenetic risk factors may have significant impact on the development of CHD^{8–11} and many single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that are associated with CHD have been identified by genome-wide association studies (GWAS).^{12–19}

Angiogenesis dysfunction was hitherto considered as a contributor to CHD since it is related with an elevated susceptibility to atherosclerosis, hypertension and diabetes, which are the three crucial CHD-causing maladies.^{20,21} Accordingly, mutations of maladies-related SNPs within certain genes would probably render subjects more vulnerable to CHD, such as rs10491334 in CaMK4, PI (A1/A2) polymorphisms in glycoprotein IIIa and so on.^{22–24} It has been suggested that both *vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2)* were involved in neovascularization, vasopermeability regulation, and formation of blood vessel networks.^{25–29} The 2 heredity genes also seemed to alter the risk of hypertension among targeted populations.^{30–32}

VEGFA is located on chromosome 6 and it could express different isoforms of proteins.³³ The *VEGF* family contains *VEGF-A*, *VEGF-B*, *VEGF-C*, *VEGF-D*, *VEGF-E*, *VEGF-F*, and placental growth factor (*PIGF*), in which *VEGF-A* is often referred as *VEGF*. Several SNPs of *VEGF-A* identified by single-stranded conformational polymorphism analysis and sequencing have been linked with the development of coronary artery disease, endometriosis, peripheral artery disorder, and lung cancer.^{34–37} Furthermore, mutations of *VEGFR2* SNPs (e.g., rs2071559) have also been demonstrated to be related with numerous diseases including tumors, rheumatoid arthritis, proliferative retinopathies, and CHD.^{38–40} However, there are few studies which are able to clarify the intrinsic relationship between *VEGFA/VEGFR2* genetic polymorphisms and the susceptibility to CHD.⁴¹

Bioinformatics enabled us to discover that rs7667298 is located in the promoter region of *VEGFR2* and exonic polymorphisms of rs2305948 and rs1870377 are both situated in the ligand binding region of *VEGFR2*. Meanwhile, both rs699947 and rs1570360 are located in the promoter region of *VEGFA*, while rs3025039 has been suggested to be associated with the

Received: June 26, 2015; revised: February 18, 2016; accepted: March 21, 2016.

From the Emergency Department, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China.

Correspondence:Bo Hu, Emergency Department, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, No. 324 Jing Wu Road, Jinan 250021, Shandong, China (e-mail: zhu_rui2014@163.com).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ISSN: 0025-7974

DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000003413

development of CHD by formal studies. Therefore, the association between 6 VEGFA/VEGFR2 genetic polymorphisms and the risk of CHD in a Chinese Han population was clarified by our study which also adjusted for several confounding factors including smoking status, alcohol consumption, hypertension and diabetes. The additive effects of the SNPs on the susceptibility to CHD were also evaluated in the 810 CHD cases and 805 healthy controls, providing us with applicable strategies for treatment of CHD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SNPs Selection

In the present study, the SNPs were obtained from unrelated Chinese population in Shanghai using the public database (HapMap). Tag SNPs were identified using the pair-wise option of Haploview 4.2 software and an r^2 of 0.8 was set as the threshold for the analysis. Finally, 6 SNPs in *VEGFA* (rs699947, rs3025039, rs1570360) and *VEGFR2* (rs2305948, rs1870377, rs7667298) were selected. Relevant information about SNPs in *VEGFR2* and *VEGFA* is shown in Table 1.

Study Subjects

The study protocol was complied with the ethical principles of medical research for human subjects set by the Helsinki Declaration. This study was approved by both of the Review Board and the Ethics Committee of Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University (Jinan, China).⁴² This study incorporated 810 unrelated CHD patients (577 males and 233 females) and 805 unrelated healthy volunteers (586 males and 219 females). Patients were consecutively recruited from the Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University (Jinan, China) between July 2012 and September 2014. All patients were guaranteed as nonsyndromic to avoid interferences of other diseases on the study results. All participants were diagnosed using ultrasonography and confirmed by surgery. CHD patients were incorporated if they satisfied the following requirements: coronary angiography revealed that more than 50% of stenosis was present in at least 1 of 3 main blood arteries (right coronary artery, left circumflex artery, and left anterior descending coronary artery) and stenosis can be identified in 2 left main coronary arteries; patients suffered from syndromes of unstable angina pectoris: angina was present when at rest and lasted for more than 20 minutes, or newly developed (<2 months) severe angina, or aggravation angina with increased intensity, duration, and frequency; clinical syndromes of myocardial infarction appeared, such as consistent and intense chest pain for more than 30 minutes, characteristic change of electrocardiograph (e.g., ST segment of 2-3 adjacent leads elevated or depressed for ≥ 1 mm, and left bundle branch block emerged) and abnormal rise of myocardial enzymology. Healthy controls were included when they were diagnosed by coronary angiography to be without CHD. Besides, all the participants, including CHD patients and healthy controls, were all excluded if they regularly take statins or other lipid lowering drugs within 2 months; were operated with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for less than 6 months when plasma specimens were gathered; were confirmed to carry severe cardiovascular diseases, including congestive heart failure (CHF), valvular heart disease, cardiomyopathy, and malignant arrhythmia; had hepatic and kidney function obstacles, connective tissue disease, tumors, and so on. The control group was matched with the case group with respect to age and sex and all subjects were recruited from the same hospital during the same period. All participants in the study have signed the informed consents and they were selected from Han Chinese. Detailed clinical data of all patients were collected using a standard data collection form.

Testing CHD Indexes

A complete set of vascular risk factors obtained from the subjects are recorded in Table 2, including body mass index (BMI), smoking status, alcohol consumption, hypertension and diabetes, total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDC-L), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDC-L). Arterial hypertension was recorded if the average systolic pressures of 3 independent blood pressure tests were more than 140 mm Hg or the average diastolic pressure was higher than 90 mm Hg. Diabetes mellitus (DM) was

Genotyped SNPs	Alias Name	Primer Sequences	MAF in Our Controls	P for HWE Test	% Genotyping Value
VEGFA					
rs699947	-2578C > A (2055A > C)	F: 5'-GCTGTAGGCCAGACCCTG-3' R: 5'-CAGTCTGATTATCCACCCAACCC-3'	0.635	0.033	97.9
rs3025039	+936C > T	F: 5'-ACCACCATCACCATCG-3' R: 5'-CCAACTCAAGTCCACAGC-3'	0.453	< 0.001	93.9
rs1570360	-1154G > A	F: 5'-CCCCGCTACCAGCCGACTT-3' R: 5'-GCCCGAGCCGCGTGTGGAG-3'	0.130	0.020	95.3
VEGFR2					
rs2305948	+1192C > T (889G > A)	F: 5'-AGACCATAGCTTACCATGGAC-3' R: 5'-CTGTGCTTTGGAAGTTCAGTC-3'	0.553	< 0.001	96.2
rs1870377	+1416T > A (1719A/T)	F: 5'-TCCACACTTCTCCATTCTTCA-3' R: 5'-CTGCTTCCCTCCTGTATCCTG-3'	0.504	< 0.001	98.3
rs7667298	-271A > G	F: 5'-AGCTCCCACCCTGCACTGA-3' R: 5'-CTGCCTTCCTCCTCCAGAG-3'	0.471	< 0.001	97.4

F = forward, HWE = Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, MAF = minor allele frequency, R = reverse, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism, VEGFA = vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR2 = vascular endothelial growth factor Z.

Clinical Characteristics	CHD Patients (n = 810)	Healthy Controls (n = 805)	Р
Age (mean \pm SD)	61.05 ± 9.73	60.86 ± 9.05	0.685^{*}
Male/female	577/233	586/219	0.485^{\dagger}
BMI (kg/m^2)	25.64 ± 3.6	25.20 ± 4.6	0.032^{*}
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.17 ± 0.65	1.18 ± 0.52	0.733^{*}
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.89 ± 0.97	2.91 ± 0.95	0.676^{*}
TC (mmol/L)	4.72 ± 1.29	4.73 ± 1.19	0.871^{*}
TG (mmol/L)	1.5 ± 1.01	1.5 ± 0.93	$>0.999^{*}$
Smoking			
Yes	399	353	0.029^{\dagger}
No	411	452	
Alcohol consumption			
Yes	635	325	$< 0.001^{\dagger}$
No	175	480	
Hypertension			
Yes	504	336	$< 0.001^{\dagger}$
No	306	469	
Diabetes			
Yes	288	143	$< 0.001^{\dagger}$
No	522	662	

TABLE 2. Comparisons of Selective Characteristics Between CHD Patients and Healthy Controls

BMI = body mass index, CHD = coronary heart disease, HDL-C = high density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C = low density lipoprotein cholesterol, SD = standard deviation, TC = total cholesterol, TG = triglyceride.

*Student t test for comparisons of continuous data.

[†]Chi-square for comparison of categorical variables.

suggested as a fasting glucose of 7.8 or 11.1 mmol/L 2 hours after oral glucose challenge. Furthermore, subjects were defined to have smoking history if they continuously smoked for more than 2 years. Subjects who consumed >2 ounce of liquor or >4 ounce of beer per day were considered to have alcoholic consumption history. Subjects and their parents were personally questioned by trained interviewers using a structured questionnaire to obtain information about maternal DM and hypertension status.

Sample Collection

Peripheral venous blood samples (10 mL) were collected from all patients using vacutainer tubes in the morning. Blood samples (5 mL) for genetic analyses were transferred into tubes which contained ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA). Genomic DNAs were isolated using genomic DNA extraction kit (QIA amp DNA Blood Mini Kit, Qiagen, Berlin, Germany) under the manufacturer's instructions.

Genetic Analysis

Five milliliters of venous blood samples were stored in a sterile tube with heparin sodium inside. The mixtures were subsequently centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes at room temperature in order to get the separated plasma samples, which were then immediately stored at -20° C. Subsequently, genomic DNAs were extracted from frozen peripheral blood samples via a QIAmp Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocols. Tag SNPs were amplified with 9700 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) System (Applied Biosystems) with the primers designed by Primer 3 software (Table 1). The PCR reaction mixture (10 μ L) included 1 μ L genomic DNA, 0.6 μ L 5 μ M designed primers, 0.4 μ L 10 mM dNTP mix, 0.1 μ L Taq DNA polymerase, 1 μ L Taq DNA

polymerase buffer, and 6.3 μ L ultrapure water. The reaction mixture of *VEGFA* was initially desaturated at 94°C for 4 minutes; desaturated at 94°C (30 seconds), annealed at 62°C (30 seconds), and extended at 72°C (45 seconds) for 35 cycles; terminated at 72°C for 2 minutes and finally reserved at 4°C. Similarly, the reaction mixture of *VEGFR2* was predesaturated at 95°C for 5 minutes; then desaturated at 94°C (30 seconds), annealed at 61°C (30 seconds), and extended at 72°C for 2 minutes and finally reserved at 4°C. Similarly, the reaction mixture of *VEGFR2* was predesaturated at 95°C for 5 minutes; then desaturated at 94°C (30 seconds), annealed at 61°C (30 seconds), and extended at 72°C for 10 minutes and finally reserved at 4°C. The resultant PCR products were purified by the addition of 1.7 U shrimp alkaline phosphatase (SAP). Finally, the SnapShot assay (Applied Biosystems) was performed to confirm the genotypes of all DNA samples.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed in the form of mean \pm standard deviation (SD) whereas categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Comparisons of continuous variables between the case and control group were carried out using the Student t test. The Chi-square (χ^2 test) was used to analyze distribution differences in gender, smoking status and alcohol consumption status between the case and control group. Apart from that, the (χ^2 test was applied to verify whether genotype frequencies were complied with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). Deviations from HWE were also estimated using the χ^2 test for each SNP. Besides that, 3 genetic models, namely, the allelic (M vs W), dominant (MW/ MM vs WW), and recessive (MM vs WW/MW) models, were applied to evaluate the association between VEGFA/VEGFR2 genetic polymorphisms and CHD susceptibility using odd ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). ORs along with their corresponding 95% CIs calculated by the χ^2 test were also used to ascertain the correlation between polymorphisms and risk of CHD after adjust for confounding factors including age, gender, BMI, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, and drinking status. All the statistical analyses were conducted by SPSS18.0 statistical software (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL) and a 2-sided *P*-value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Study Population

A total of 810 CHD patients (male/female: 2.46) averaging (61.05 ± 9.73) years old and 805 healthy volunteers (male/female: 2.67) with averaging (60.86 ± 9.05) years old were included in our study. Compared with the control group,

significantly more CHD patients were accompanied with smoking habits (49% vs 43.9%) and alcoholic consumption (78.4% vs 40.4%) as well as complications of diabetes (35.6% vs 17.8%) and hypertension (62.2% vs 41.7%) (all P < 0.05) (Table 2). However, no significant intergroup difference was observed between the case and control group in HDL, VDL, TG, and TC levels (P > 0.05).

Associations of *VEGFA* Genetic Polymorphisms With Risk of CHD

Genotype distributions of the 3 SNPs located in *VEGFA* among the case and control group are summarized in Table 3.

$\begin{array}{ccccc} TT & 30 (4\%)' & 21 (3\%)' & 0.536 (0.303-0.947)' & 4.738 & 0.03 \\ Dominant (TT + CT vs CC) & 2.350 (1.896-2.913) & 62.07 & 0.000 \\ Recessive (TT vs CT + CC) & 1.436 (0.815-2.30) & 1.58 & 0.208 \\ Allele (T allele vs C allele) & 1.970 (1.636-2.373) & 52.15 & <0.001 \\ rs1570360 (A > G) & Ref. \\ AG & 370 (46\%) & 456 (57\%) & 2.244 (1.807-2.787) & 54.35 & <0.00 \\ GG & 54 (6\%) & 137 (17\%) & 4.619 (3.233-6.600) & 77.23 & <0.00 \\ Dominant (GG + AG vs AA) & 0.393 (0.319-0.484) & 78.70 & <0.001 \\ Recessive (GG vs AG + AA) & 0.393 (0.319-0.485) & 41.49 & <0.001 \\ Allele (S allele vs A allele) & 0.505 (0.436-0.583) & 86.49 & <0.001 \\ rs699947 (C > A) & 0.381 (47\%) & 345 (43\%) & 1.152 (0.872-1.524) & 0.994 & 0.31 \\ AA & 275 (34\%) & 339 (42\%) & 1.569 (1.178-20.89) & 9.562 & 0.00 \\ Dominant (AA + CA vs CC) & 0.754 (0.580-0.978) & 4.53 & 0.003 \\ Recessive (AA vs CA + CC) & 0.707 (0.577-0.865) & 11.41 & <0.001 \\ Allele (a allele vs C allele) & 0.775 (0.673-0.893) & 12.45 & <0.001 \\ rs2305948 (C > T) & C & 151 (19\%) & 205 (25\%) & Ref. \\ CT & 207 (25\%) & 309 (39\%) & 1.100 (0.836-1.466) & 0.460 & 0.49 \\ TT & 452 (56\%) & 291 (36\%) & 0.474 (0.367-0.613) & 32.97 & <0.00 \\ Allele (T allele vs C allele) & 1.761 (1.526-2.034) & 60.06 & <0.001 \\ rs1870377 (T > A) & T & 261 (32\%) & 254 (32\%) & Ref. \\ TA & 206 (26\%) & 291 (36\%) & 0.474 (0.367-0.613) & 32.97 & <0.00 \\ Allele (T allele vs C allele) & 1.761 (1.526-2.034) & 60.06 & <0.001 \\ rs1870377 (T > A) & T & 1.540 (1.257-1.886) & 7.12 & 0.008 \\ rs767298 (A > G) & 233 (29\%) & Ref. \\ TA & 206 (26\%) & 291 (36\%) & 1.452 (1.132-1.861) & 8.672 & 0.00 \\ AA & 343 (42\%) & 260 (32\%) & 0.779 (0.645-0.987) & 4.302 & 0.03 \\ rs667298 (A > G) & 333 (29\%) & 1.877 (1.491-2.363) & 28.98 & <0.000 \\ rs767298 (A > G) & 335 (47\%) & 272 (34\%) & Ref. \\ AA & 385 (47\%) & 272 (34\%) & Ref. \\ AG & 233 (29\%) & 0.978 (0.451-0.689) & 1.657 (1.289-2.115) & 15.87 & <0.000 \\ Allele vs Tallele vs Tallele & 1.207 (1.051-1.386) & 7.12 & 0.0008 \\ rs767298 (A > G) & 0.533 (0.461-0.689) & 31.60 & <0.001 \\ recessive (GA$	SNP/Genotype	CHD Patients (n = 810)	Healthy Controls (n = 805)	OR (95% CI)	χ^2	Р
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	rs3025039 (C > T)					
$\begin{array}{ccccc} TT & 30 (4\%) & 21 (3\%) & 0.536 (0.303-0.947) & 4.738 & 0.03 \\ Dominant (TT + CT vs CC) & 2.350 (1.896-2.913) & 62.07 & 0.000 \\ Recessive (TT vs CT + CC) & 1.436 (0.815-2.530) & 1.58 & 0.208 \\ Allele (T allele vs C allele) & 1.970 (1.636-2.373) & 52.15 & <0.001 \\ rsl570360 (A > G) & Ref. & \\ AG & 370 (46\%) & 456 (57\%) & 2.244 (1.807-2.787) & 54.35 & <0.00 \\ GG & 54 (6\%) & 137 (17\%) & 4.619 (3.233-6.600) \\ Recessive (GG vs AG + AA) & 0.393 (0.319-0.484) & 78.70 & <0.001 \\ Recessive (GG vs AG + AA) & 0.348 (0.250-0.485) & 41.49 & <0.001 \\ rs699947 (C > A) & \\ CC & 154 (19\%) & 121 (15\%) & Ref. \\ CA & 381 (47\%) & 345 (43\%) & 1.152 (0.872-1.524) & 0.994 & 0.31 \\ AA & 275 (34\%) & 339 (42\%) & 1.569 (1.178-20.89) & 9.562 & 0.00 \\ rs699947 (C > A) & \\ CC & 154 (19\%) & 319 (42\%) & 1.152 (0.872-1.524) & 0.994 & 0.31 \\ AA & 275 (34\%) & 339 (42\%) & 1.569 (1.178-20.89) & 9.562 & 0.00 \\ rs205948 (C > T) & \\ CC & 151 (19\%) & Ref. \\ CT & 207 (25\%) & 309 (39\%) & 1.100 (0.836-1.446) & 0.400 \\ TT & 207 (25\%) & 309 (39\%) & 1.100 (0.836-1.446) & 0.400 \\ TT & 452 (56\%) & 291 (36\%) & 0.474 (0.367-0.613) & 32.97 & <0.00 \\ Allele (A allele vs C allele) & 1.761 (1.526-2.034) & 60.06 & <0.001 \\ rs1870377 (T > A) & \\ TT & 261 (32\%) & 254 (32\%) & Ref. \\ TA & 206 (26\%) & 291 (36\%) & 0.474 (0.367-0.613) & 32.97 & <0.00 \\ Allele (T allele vs C allele) & 1.761 (1.526-2.034) & 60.06 & <0.001 \\ rs1870377 (T > A) & \\ TT & 261 (32\%) & 254 (32\%) & Ref. \\ TA & 206 (26\%) & 291 (36\%) & 1.452 (1.132-1.861) & 8.672 & 0.00 \\ AA & 343 (42\%) & 260 (32\%) & 0.779 (0.645-0.987) & 4.302 & 0.03 \\ Dominant (AA + TA vs TT) & 0.970 (0.787-1.195) & 0.08 & 0.773 \\ Recessive (AA vs TA + TT) & 1.540 (1.257-1.886) & 17.42 & 0.000 \\ Allele (x allele vs T allele) & 1.207 (1.051-1.386) & 7.12 & 0.008 \\ rs7667298 (A > G) & 335 (47\%) & 224 (28\%) & 1.657 (1.491-2.363) & 25.98 & <0.000 \\ GG & 192 (24\%) & 224 (28\%) & 1.657 (1.289-2.115) & 15.87 & <0.000 \\ Rad & 335 (47\%) & 0.53 (0.461-0.689) & 31.60 & <0.001 \\ Recessive (GG vs AA + AG) & 0.056 (0.644-1.008) & 3.59 & 0$	CC		617 (77%)	Ref.		
$\begin{array}{ccccc} TT & 30 (4\%) & 21 (3\%) & 0.536 (0.303-0.947) & 4.738 & 0.03 \\ Dominant (TT + CT vs CC) & 2.350 (1.896-2.913) & 62.07 & 0.000 \\ Recessive (TT vs CT + CC) & 1.436 (0.815-2.530) & 1.58 & 0.208 \\ Allele (T allele vs C allele) & 1.970 (1.636-2.373) & 52.15 & <0.001 \\ rsl570360 (A > G) & Ref. & \\ AG & 370 (46\%) & 456 (57\%) & 2.244 (1.807-2.787) & 54.35 & <0.00 \\ GG & 54 (6\%) & 137 (17\%) & 4.619 (3.233-6.600) \\ Recessive (GG vs AG + AA) & 0.393 (0.319-0.484) & 78.70 & <0.001 \\ Recessive (GG vs AG + AA) & 0.348 (0.250-0.485) & 41.49 & <0.001 \\ rs699947 (C > A) & \\ CC & 154 (19\%) & 121 (15\%) & Ref. \\ CA & 381 (47\%) & 345 (43\%) & 1.152 (0.872-1.524) & 0.994 & 0.31 \\ AA & 275 (34\%) & 339 (42\%) & 1.569 (1.178-20.89) & 9.562 & 0.00 \\ rs699947 (C > A) & \\ CC & 154 (19\%) & 319 (42\%) & 1.152 (0.872-1.524) & 0.994 & 0.31 \\ AA & 275 (34\%) & 339 (42\%) & 1.569 (1.178-20.89) & 9.562 & 0.00 \\ rs205948 (C > T) & \\ CC & 151 (19\%) & Ref. \\ CT & 207 (25\%) & 309 (39\%) & 1.100 (0.836-1.446) & 0.400 \\ TT & 207 (25\%) & 309 (39\%) & 1.100 (0.836-1.446) & 0.400 \\ TT & 452 (56\%) & 291 (36\%) & 0.474 (0.367-0.613) & 32.97 & <0.00 \\ Allele (A allele vs C allele) & 1.761 (1.526-2.034) & 60.06 & <0.001 \\ rs1870377 (T > A) & \\ TT & 261 (32\%) & 254 (32\%) & Ref. \\ TA & 206 (26\%) & 291 (36\%) & 0.474 (0.367-0.613) & 32.97 & <0.00 \\ Allele (T allele vs C allele) & 1.761 (1.526-2.034) & 60.06 & <0.001 \\ rs1870377 (T > A) & \\ TT & 261 (32\%) & 254 (32\%) & Ref. \\ TA & 206 (26\%) & 291 (36\%) & 1.452 (1.132-1.861) & 8.672 & 0.00 \\ AA & 343 (42\%) & 260 (32\%) & 0.779 (0.645-0.987) & 4.302 & 0.03 \\ Dominant (AA + TA vs TT) & 0.970 (0.787-1.195) & 0.08 & 0.773 \\ Recessive (AA vs TA + TT) & 1.540 (1.257-1.886) & 17.42 & 0.000 \\ Allele (x allele vs T allele) & 1.207 (1.051-1.386) & 7.12 & 0.008 \\ rs7667298 (A > G) & 335 (47\%) & 224 (28\%) & 1.657 (1.491-2.363) & 25.98 & <0.000 \\ GG & 192 (24\%) & 224 (28\%) & 1.657 (1.289-2.115) & 15.87 & <0.000 \\ Rad & 335 (47\%) & 0.53 (0.461-0.689) & 31.60 & <0.001 \\ Recessive (GG vs AA + AG) & 0.056 (0.644-1.008) & 3.59 & 0$	CT	308 (38%)	167 (20%)	0.415 (0.332-0.519)	61.15	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TT		21 (3%)	0.536 (0.303-0.947)	4.738	0.030
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Dominant (TT + CT vs CC)		2.350 (1.896-2.913)	62.07	0.000	
	Recessive (TT vs $CT + CC$)		1.436 (0.815-2.530)	1.58	0.208	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Allele (T allele vs C allele)		1.970 (1.636-2.373)	52.15	< 0.001	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	rs1570360 (A > G)					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		386 (48%)	212 (26%)	Ref.		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AG	370 (46%)		2.244 (1.807-2.787)	54.35	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	GG				77.23	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Dominant (GG + AG vs AA)				< 0.001	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			× , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	86.49	< 0.001	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} CA & 381 (47\%) & 345 (43\%) & 1.152 (0.872-1.524) & 0.994 & 0.31 \\ AA & 275 (34\%) & 339 (42\%) & 1.569 (1.178-20.89) & 9.562 & 0.00 \\ 0.001 & 0.754 (0.580-0.978) & 4.53 & 0.033 \\ Recessive (AA vs CA + CC) & 0.707 (0.577-0.865) & 11.41 & 0.001 \\ Allele (A allele vs C allele) & 0.775 (0.673-0.893) & 12.45 & <0.001 \\ rs2305948 (C > T) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		154 (19%)	121 (15%)	Ref.		
$\begin{array}{ccccc} AA & 275 \left(34\%\right) & 339 \left(42\%\right) & 1.569 \left(1.178-20.89\right) & 9.562 & 0.00 \\ Dominant (AA + CA vs CC) & 0.754 \left(0.580-0.978\right) & 4.53 & 0.033 \\ Recessive (AA vs CA + CC) & 0.707 \left(0.577-0.865\right) & 11.41 & <0.001 \\ Allele (vs C allele) & 0.775 \left(0.673-0.893\right) & 12.45 & <0.001 \\ rs2305948 (C > T) \\ CC & 151 \left(19\%\right) & 205 \left(25\%\right) & Ref. \\ CT & 207 \left(25\%\right) & 309 \left(39\%\right) & 1.100 \left(0.836-1.446\right) & 0.460 & 0.49 \\ TT & 452 \left(56\%\right) & 291 \left(36\%\right) & 0.474 \left(0.367-0.613\right) & 32.97 & <0.00 \\ Recessive (TT vs CT + CC) & 1.491 \left(1.176-1.891\right) & 10.94 & <0.001 \\ Recessive (TT vs CT + CC) & 2.230 \left(1.826-2.723\right) & 62.78 & <0.001 \\ Allele (T allele vs C allele) & 1.761 \left(1.526-2.034\right) & 60.06 & <0.001 \\ rs1870377 (T > A) \\ TT & 261 \left(32\%\right) & 254 \left(32\%\right) & Ref. \\ TA & 206 \left(26\%\right) & 291 \left(36\%\right) & 1.452 \left(1.132-1.861\right) & 8.672 & 0.00 \\ AA & 343 \left(42\%\right) & 260 \left(32\%\right) & 0.779 \left(0.645-0.987\right) & 4.302 & 0.03 \\ Dominant (AA + TA vs TT) & 0.970 \left(0.787-1.195\right) & 0.08 & 0.773 \\ Recessive (AA vs TA + TT) & 1.540 \left(1.257-1.886\right) & 17.42 & 0.000 \\ rs7667298 (A > G) \\ rs7667298 (A > G) \\ rs7667298 (A > G) \\ AA & 385 \left(47\%\right) & 272 \left(34\%\right) & Ref. \\ AG & 233 \left(29\%\right) & 309 \left(38\%\right) & 1.877 \left(1.491-2.363\right) & 28.98 & <0.00 \\ GG & 192 \left(24\%\right) & 224 \left(28\%\right) & 1.651 \left(1.289-2.115\right) & 15.87 & <0.00 \\ Recessive (GG vs AA + AG) & 0.806 \left(0.644-1.008\right) & 3.59 & 0.058 \\ \end{array}$	CA		× ,	1.152 (0.872-1.524)	0.994	0.319
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.002
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		270 (0170)				0.002
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			× , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		151 (19%)	205 (25%)	Ref		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			<pre></pre>		0 460	0.498
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		152 (5070)	<pre></pre>			<0.001
Allele (T allele vs C allele) $1.761(1.526-2.034)$ 60.06 <0.001 rs1870377 (T > A)TT $261(32\%)$ $254(32\%)$ Ref.TA $206(26\%)$ $291(36\%)$ $1.452(1.132-1.861)$ 8.672 0.00 AA $343(42\%)$ $260(32\%)$ $0.779(0.645-0.987)$ 4.302 0.03 Dominant (AA + TA vs TT) $0.970(0.787-1.195)$ 0.08 0.773 Recessive (AA vs TA + TT) $1.540(1.257-1.886)$ 17.42 0.000 Allele (A allele vs T allele) $1.207(1.051-1.386)$ 7.12 0.008 rs7667298 (A > G) $4.323(29\%)$ $309(38\%)$ $1.877(1.491-2.363)$ 28.98 <0.000 GG $192(24\%)$ $224(28\%)$ $1.651(1.289-2.115)$ 15.87 <0.001 Dominant (GG + AG vs AA) $0.563(0.461-0.689)$ 31.60 <0.001 Recessive (GG vs AA + AG) $0.806(0.644-1.008)$ 3.59 0.058						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			× , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				00100	201001	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		261 (32%)	254 (32%)	Ref		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					8 672	0.003
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.038
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		545 (1270)	<pre></pre>			0.050
	× /		× , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
AA 385 (47%) 272 (34%) Ref. AG 233 (29%) 309 (38%) 1.877 (1.491–2.363) 28.98 <0.00	× /		1.207 (1.001 1.000)	7.12	0.000	
AG 233 (29%) 309 (38%) 1.877 (1.491-2.363) 28.98 <0.00 GG 192 (24%) 224 (28%) 1.651 (1.289-2.115) 15.87 <0.00		385 (47%)	272 (34%)	Ref		
GG 192 (24%) 224 (28%) 1.651 (1.289-2.115) 15.87 <0.00 Dominant (GG + AG vs AA) 0.563 (0.461-0.689) 31.60 <0.001			<pre></pre>		28.98	< 0.001
Dominant (GG + AG vs AA)0.563 (0.461-0.689)31.60<0.001Recessive (GG vs AA + AG)0.806 (0.644-1.008)3.590.058						< 0.001
Recessive (GG vs AA + AG) 0.806 (0.644-1.008) 3.59 0.058		172 (27/0)				<0.001
A LEVE A SUPPER 1 1044 UDUA_U /971 /636 / 1000	Allele (G allele vs A allele) $Allele$		0.693 (0603 - 0.797)	26.36	< 0.001	

CHD = coronary heart disease, CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio, Ref. = reference, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism, VEGFA = vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR2 = vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2.

All loci in the case and the control group were complied with HWE (P > 0.05). Under the allelic model, T allele of rs3025039, A allele of rs1570360, and C allele of rs699947 were all significantly associated with higher CHD risk (T vs C, OR = 1.97, 95% CI: 1.64–2.37; G vs A, OR = 0.51, 95% CI: 0.44–0.58; A vs C, OR = 0.78, 95% CI: 0.67–0.89). As suggested by the dominant model, genotype TT/CT of rs3025039 also conferred an enhancive risk of CHD (TT/CT vs CC, OR = 2.35, 95% CI: 1.90–2.91), while genotypes of rs1570360 and rs699947 were associated with a lower risk of CHD (GG/AG vs AA, OR = 0.39, 95% CI: 0.32–0.48; AA/CA vs CC, OR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.58–0.98).

Associations of *VEGFR2* Genetic Polymorphisms With Risk of CHD

The polymorphism of *VEGFR2* rs2305948 (C > T) exhibited significant correlations with higher risk of CHD (TT/CT vs CC., OR = 1.49, 95% CI: 1.18–1.89, P < 0.001; T vs C, OR = 1.76, 95% CI: 1.52–2.03, P < 0.001, respectively) (Table 3). The allelic variant of rs1870377 (T > A) appeared to dramatically increase CHD risk (A vs T, OR = 1.21, 95% CI: 1.05–1.39, P = 0.008) as well. By contrast, individuals with mutations of rs7667298 (A > G) might have significantly reduced risk of CHD (GG/AG vs AA: OR = 0.56, 95% CI = 0.46–0.70, P < 0.001; G vs A: OR = 0.69, 95% CI = 0.60–0.80, P < 0.001).

Correlation Between *VEGFA/VEGFR2* Genotypes and CHD Risk Among Populations Stratified by Smoking Status

For both smokers and nonsmokers, subjects with genotype AA of rs699947 were associated with an increased risk of CHD when compared with those carrying CC genotype (smoker, OR = 1.72, 95% CI: 1.12-2.65; nonsmoker, OR = 1.45, 95% CI: 1.01-2.18) (Table 4). Identical trends were observed for homozygote GG and heterozygote AG of rs1570360 irrespective of smoking status (all P < 0.05). Conversely, either smokers or nonsmokers with genotypes (CT vs CC; TT vs CC) of rs3025039 and rs2305948 exhibited significant associations with a reduced susceptibility to CHD (all OR < 1; P < 0.05). As for rs1870377, heterozygote TA conferred elevated risk of CHD among nonsmokers, whereas homozygote AA served as a protective factor for CHD (TA vs TT, OR = 1.44, 95% CI: 1.03–2.01; AA vs TT, OR = 0.63, 95% CI: 0.45–0.87). Dissimilarly, both homozygote GG and heterozygote AG were correlated with higher CHD risk, among smoking and nonsmoking populations (GG vs AA, OR = 1.53, 95% CI: 1.06-2.20; AG vs AA, OR = 1.88, 95% CI: 1.37-2.59).

Correlation Between VEGFA/VEGFR2 Genotypes and CHD Risk Among Populations Stratified by Alcoholic Consumption

For individuals with alcohol consumptions (Table 5), genotypes of rs699947 (CA vs CC; AA vs CC), rs1570360 (AG vs

	Smoker		Nonsmoker		
Genotypes	Cases	Control	Cases	Control	
rs699947					
CC	73	48	81 (Ref.)	73 (Ref.)	
CA	189, OR 1.21 (0.79–1.84), $P = 0.394$	150	192, OR 1.13 ($0.78 - 1.64$), $P = 0.531$	195	
AA	137, OR 1.72 (1.12–2.65), $P = 0.017$	155	138, OR 1.48 (1.01–2.18), $P = 0.046$	184	
rs1570360					
AA	196	87	190 (Ref.)	125 (Ref.)	
AG	182, OR 1.74 (1.29–2.34), P < 0.001	208	188, OR 2.01 (1.49–2.69), P < 0.001	248	
GG	21, OR 4.20 (2.43–7.26), P < 0.001	58	33, OR 3.64 (2.29–5.79), P < 0.001	79	
rs3025039					
CC	240	273	232 (Ref.)	344 (Ref.)	
CT	140, OR 0.34 (0.24–0.47), P < 0.001	70	168, OR 0.39 (0.29 $-$ 0.53), $P < 0.001$	97	
TT	19, OR 0.36 (0.16 -0.78), $P = 0.007$	10	11, OR 0.67 (0.29–1.58), $P = 0.362$	11	
rs2305948					
CC	75	82	76 (Ref.)	123 (Ref.)	
CT	105, OR 0.84 (0.57–1.23), $P = 0.375$	143	102, OR 1.01 (0.69–1.47), $P = 0.977$	166	
TT	219, OR 0.36 (0.25–0.52), P < 0.001	128	233, OR 0.43 (0.30–0.61), P < 0.001	163	
rs1870377					
TT	120	103	141 (Ref.)	151 (Ref.)	
TA	102, OR 1.20 (0.85–1.70), $P = 0.303$	131	104, OR 1.44 (1.03–2.01), $P = 0.035$	160	
AA	177, OR 0.63 (0.45–0.87), $P = 0.005$	119	166, OR 0.79 (0.58–1.09), $P = 0.157$	141	
rs7667298					
AA	200	120	185 (Ref.)	152 (Ref.)	
AG	120, OR 1.36 (0.98–1.88), $P = 0.065$	134	113, OR 1.88 (1.37–2.59), P < 0.001	175	
GG	79, OR 1.53 (1.06–2.20), $P = 0.023$	99	113, OR 1.35 ($0.97 - 1.88$), $P = 0.080$	125	

TABLE 4. Correlation Between VEGFA/VEGFR2 Genotypes and Smoking Among CHD Patients and Healthy Controls

CHD = coronary heart disease, OR = odds ratio, Ref. = reference, VEGFA = vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR2 = vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2.

	Alcoholics		Nonalcoholics		
Genotypes	Cases	Control	Cases	Control	
rs699947					
CC	119	57	35 (Ref.)	64 (Ref.)	
CA	293, OR 0.25 (0.16–0.40), P < 0.001	135	88, OR 1.31 (0.81–2.11), $P = 0.278$	210	
AA	223, OR 0.33 (0.20–0.52), P < 0.001	133	52, OR 2.17 (1.30 -3.62), $P = 0.003$	206	
rs1570360					
AA	309	86	77 (Ref.)	126 (Ref.)	
AG	289, OR 0.40 (0.29–0.56), P < 0.001	189	81, OR 2.01 (1.38–2.94), P < 0.001	267	
GG	37, OR 0.83 (0.50–1.38), $P = 0.463$	50	17, OR 3.13 (1.73–5.65), P < 0.001	87	
rs3025039					
CC	381	252	91 (Ref.)	365 (Ref.)	
CT	234, OR 0.07 (0.05–0.09), P < 0.001	62	74, OR 0.35 (0.24–0.52), P < 0.001	105	
TT	20, OR 0.14 (0.06–0.30), P < 0.001	11	10, OR 0.25 (0.10 $-$ 0.62), $P = 0.001$	10	
rs2305948					
CC	118	71	33 (Ref.)	134 (Ref.)	
CT	167, OR 0.18 (0.11–0.28), P < 0.001	121	40, OR 1.16 (0.69–1.93), $P = 0.601$	188	
TT	350, OR 0.10 (0.06–0.14), P < 0.001	133	102, OR 0.38 (0.24–0.60), P < 0.001	158	
rs1870377					
TT	210	102	51 (Ref.)	152 (Ref.)	
TA	163, OR 0.23 (0.16–0.35), P < 0.001	114	43, OR 1.38 (0.87–2.19), $P = 0.168$	177	
AA	262, OR 0.14 (0.09–0.21), P < 0.001	109	81, OR 0.63 (0.41–0.95), P < 0.001	151	
rs7667298					
AA	305	251	167 (Ref.)	366 (Ref.)	
AG	182, OR 0.19 (0.14–0.26), P < 0.001	75	127, OR 0.33 (0.24–0.46), P < 0.001	92	
GG	18, OR 0.25 (0.11–0.56), P < 0.001	10	12, OR 0.42 (0.18 -0.97), $P = 0.037$	11	

 TABLE 5.
 Correlation Between VEGFA/VEGFR2 Genotypes and Alcohol Intake Among CHD Patients and Healthy Controls

CHD = coronary heart disease, OR = odds ratio, Ref. = reference, VEGFA = vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR2 = vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2.

AA), rs3025039 (CT vs CC; TT vs CC), rs2305948 (CT vs CC; TT vs CC), rs1870377 (TA vs TT; AA vs TT), and rs7667298 (AG vs AA; GG vs AA) were all correlated with a lower susceptibility to CHD (OR = 0.25, 95% CI: 0.16-0.40; OR = 0.33, 95% CI: 0.20-0.52; OR = 0.40, 95% CI: 0.29-0.56; OR = 0.07, 95% CI: 0.05-0.09; OR = 0.14, 95% CI: 0.06-0.30; OR = 0.18, 95% CI: 0.11-0.28; OR = 0.10, 95% CI: 0.06–0.14; OR = 0.23, 95% CI: 0.16–0.35; OR = 0.14, 95% CI: 0.09–0.21; OR = 0.19, 95% CI: 0.14–0.26; OR = 0.25, 95% CI: 0.11-0.56). Among individuals without alcohol consumptions (Table 5), homozygotes of rs699947 (AA vs CC) and rs1570360 (GG vs AA) showed positive effects on the development of CHD (OR = 2.17, 95% CI: 1.30-3.62; OR = 3.13, 95% CI: 1.73–5.65). Nonetheless, homozygotes of rs3025039 (TT vs CC), rs2305948 (TT vs CC), rs1870377 (AA vs TT), and rs7667298 (GG vs AA) were all associated with a decreased risk of CHD (OR = 0.25, 95% CI: 0.10-0.62; OR = 0.38, 95% CI: 0.24-0.60; OR = 0.63, 95% CI: 0.41-0.95; OR = 0.42, 95% CI: 0.18-0.97).

Correlation Between VEGFA/VEGFR2 Genotypes and CHD Risk Among Populations Stratified by Diabetic Status

Diabetic participants with either homozygotes or heterozygotes of rs699947, rs3025039, rs2305948, rs1870377, and rs7667298 tended to be less susceptible to CHD than those with homozygotes CC, CC, CC, TT, and AA, respectively (all P < 0.05) (Table 6). Nondiabetic participants with genotypes of rs699947 (AA vs CC) and rs1570360 (AG vs AA; GG vs AA) appeared to be more readily subjected to CHD (OR = 2.79, 95% CI: 1.87–4.16; OR = 2.22, 95% CI: 1.73–2.85; OR = 4.73, 95% CI: 3.08–7.26). However, genotypes of the other 4 SNPs were still linked with lessened risk of CHD among nondiabetics participants (all P < 0.05).

Correlation Between *VEGFA/VEGFR2* Genotypes and CHD Risk Among Populations Stratified by Hypertension

Unlike stratification by diabetics status, results from participants with hypertension (Table 7) suggested that heterozygote CT and homozygote TT of rs3025039 had opposite effects on CHD risk when compared with homozygote CC (OR = 1.90, 95% CI: 1.10-3.28; OR = 0.24, 95% CI: 0.11-0.53). Concerning rs1570360, carriers of homozygote GG were significantly associated with higher-risk of CHD than those of AA (OR = 2.02, 95% CI: 1.25-3.27). Genotypes of additional SNPs all had protective effects on CHD development among subjects with high blood pressure (all P < 0.05). For nonhypertensive patients, up to 5-fold increased risk of CHD was associated with GG genotype of rs1570360 (GG vs AA: OR = 5.22, 95% CI = 3.00-9.01, P < 0.001). Genotypes of rs699947 (AA vs CC), rs1570360 (AG vs AA), rs1870377 (TA vs TT), and rs7667298 (AG vs AA; GG vs AA) were related with about 2-fold incremental risk of CHD (OR = 1.79,

	Diabetes		Nondiabetes		
Genotypes	Cases	Control	Cases	Control	
rs699947					
CC	53	17	101 (Ref.)	104 (Ref.)	
CA	135, OR 0.47 (0.31–0.70), P < 0.001	65	246, OR 1.11 (0.80–1.53), $P = 0.543$	280	
AA	100, OR 0.59 (0.38–0.90), $P = 0.014$	61	175, OR 2.79 (1.87–4.16), P < 0.001	278	
rs1570360					
AA	132	32	254 (Ref.)	180 (Ref.)	
AG	136, OR 0.91 (0.66–1.27), P=0.588	88	234, OR 2.22 (1.73–2.85), P < 0.001	368	
GG	20, OR 1.62 (0.87–3.04), P=0.129	23	34, OR 4.73 (3.08–7.26), P < 0.001	114	
rs3025039					
CC	166	112	306 (Ref.)	505 (Ref.)	
CT	115, OR 0.153 (0.10–0.24), P < 0.001	29	193, OR 0.43 (0.33–0.56), P < 0.001	138	
TT	7, OR 0.17 (0.04–0.84), P=0.014	2	23, OR 0.50 (0.27–0.93), P=0.027	19	
rs2305948					
CC	51	41	100 (Ref.)	164 (Ref.)	
CT	74, OR 0.46 (0.30–0.71), P < 0.001	56	133, OR 1.16 (0.84–1.61), P=0.372	253	
TT	163, OR 0.17 (0.11–0.26), P < 0.001	46	289, OR 0.52 (0.68–0.70), P < 0.001	245	
rs1870377					
TT	96	44	165 (Ref.)	210 (Ref.)	
TA	69, OR 0.64 (0.41 $-$ 0.93), $P = 0.020$	54	137, OR 1.36 (1.01–1.82), $P = 0.040$	237	
AA	123, OR 0.29 (0.19–0.43), P < 0.001	45	220, OR 0.77 (0.59–1.01), P=0.062	215	
rs7667298					
AA	135	46	250 (Ref.)	226 (Ref.)	
AG	88, OR 0.70 (0.48–1.03), P=0.070	56	145, OR 1.93 (1.47–2.53), P < 0.001	253	
GG	65, OR 0.70 (0.45 -1.07), $P = 0.100$	41	137, OR 1.48 (1.11–1.97), P < 0.001	183	

TABLE 6. Interaction Between VEGFA and VEGFR2 Genotypes With Diabetes in CHD Cases and Controls

CHD = coronary heart disease, OR = odds ratio, Ref. = reference, VEGFA = vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR2 = vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2.

95% CI: 1.16-2.76; OR = 2.48, 95% CI: 1.81-3.40; OR = 1.61, 95% CI: 1.11-2.35; OR = 1.80, 95% CI: 1.28-2.52; OR = 1.75, 95% CI: 1.21-2.53). On the contrary, genotypes (TT vs CC) of the remaining SNPs (i.e., rs3025039 and rs2305948) were associated with a reduced CHD risk (OR = 0.42, 95% CI: 0.18-0.97; OR = 0.39, 95% CI: 0.26-0.57).

Association of Combined Genotypes of *VEGFA* (rs699947) and *VEGFR2* (rs2305948, rs1873077, and rs7667298) With Risk of CHD

The combined VEGFA (rs699947 CC/CA) and VEGFR2 (rs2305948 TT) genotype both presented protective effects on developing CHD (CCCT vs CCCC: OR = 0.51, 95% CI = 0.28-0.95, P < 0.05; CATT vs CCCC: OR = 0.38, 95% CI = 0.22 - 0.67, P < 0.001) (Table 8). On the contrary, coactions of VEGFA (rs699947 CA/AA) and VEGFR2 (rs1870377 TA) were associated with higher risk of CHD (CATA vs CCTT: OR = 1.71, 95% CI = 1.04–2.81, *P* = 0.034; AATA vs CCTT: OR = 2.01, 95% CI = 1.20 - 3.38, P = 0.008). However, subjects with CA of rs699947 together with TT of rs1870377 might be less liable to CHD (CATT vs CCTT: OR = 0.41, 95% CI = 0.24 - 0.72, P = 0.002). Furthermore, subjects with 6 combined genotypes of VEGFA (rs699947) and VEGFR2 (rs7667298) including CCAG, CCGG, CAGG, AAAA, AAAG, and AAGG were more susceptible to CHD in comparison to CCAA (all *P* < 0.05).

Association of Combined Genotypes of *VEGFA* (rs1570360) and *VEGFR2* (rs2305948, rs1873077, and rs7667298) With Risk of CHD

When compared with AACC carriers, carriers of *VEGFA* (rs1570360 AA) and *VEGFR2* (rs2305948 TT) were more likely to develop CHD, while carriers of AGCC, AGCT, GGCC, GGCT, and GGTT appeared to be less vulnerable to CHD (all P < 0.05). Almost all potential combined genotypes of *VEGFA* (rs1570360) and *VEGFR2* (rs1870377), except for AAAA were positively associated with CHD susceptibility compared with AATT (all OR > 1, P < 0.05). Similarly, 8 combined genotypes of *VEGFA* (rs1570360) and *VEGFR2* (rs7667298), except for AAAG, were also linked with higher incidence of CHD (Table 9).

Association of Combined Genotypes of *VEGFA* (rs3025039) and *VEGFR2* (rs2305948, rs1873077, and rs7667298) With Risk of CHD

The combination of VEGFA rs3025039 (CC/CT) and VEGFR2 (rs2305948 CC/CT/TT) genotypes were associated with a decreased risk of CHD (P < 0.05). Besides, VEGFA (rs3025039 CT) and VEGFR2 (rs1870377 TT/TA/AA) exhibited the same reduced risk with OR less than 1, despite that the opposite tendency was exhibited by CCTA when compared with CCTT (P < 0.05). Remarkable associations were also observed between VEGFA (rs3025039 CC), VEGFR2

	Hypertension		Nonhypertension		
Genotypes	Cases	Control	Cases	Control	
rs699947					
CC	99	62	55 (Ref.)	59 (Ref.)	
CA	237, OR 0.72 (0.48–1.09), $P = 0.120$	140	144, OR 1.33 ($0.87-2.03$), $P = 0.191$	205	
AA	168, OR 0.74 (0.48–1.15), $P = 0.178$	134	107, OR 1.79 (1.16–2.76), $P = 0.009$	205	
rs1570360					
AA	231	87	155 (Ref.)	125 (Ref.)	
AG	238, OR 1.00 (0.74–1.35), P=0.998	192	132, OR 2.48 (1.81 -3.40), $P < 0.001$	264	
GG	35, OR 2.02 (1.25 -3.27), $P = 0.004$	57	19, OR 5.22 (3.00–9.01), P < 0.001	80	
rs3025039					
CC	305	251	167 (Ref.)	366 (Ref.)	
CT	181, OR 1.90 (1.10 -3.28), $P = 0.020$	75	127, OR 0.33 (0.24–0.46), P < 0.001	92	
TT	19, OR 0.24 (0.11–0.53), P < 0.001	10	12, OR 0.42 (0.18 -0.97), $P = 0.036$	11	
rs2305948					
CC	102	80	49 (Ref.)	125 (Ref.)	
CT	123, OR 0.43 (0.29–0.65), P < 0.001	136	84, OR 0.81 (0.53–1.23), $P = 0.319$	173	
TT	279, OR 0.17 (0.11 -0.25), $P < 0.001$	120	173, OR 0.39 (0.26–0.57), P < 0.001	171	
rs1870377					
TT	163	110	98 (Ref.)	144 (Ref.)	
TA	133, OR 0.59 (0.41–0.84), $P = 0.004$	115	73, OR 1.61 (1.11–2.35), $P = 0.012$	173	
AA	208, OR 0.36 (0.26–0.51), P < 0.001	111	135, OR 0.75 (0.53 -1.06), $P = 0.105$	149	
rs7667298					
AA	234	106	151 (Ref.)	166 (Ref.)	
AG	145, OR 0.85 (0.61–1.17), $P = 0.311$	135	88, OR 1.80 (1.28–2.52), $P < 0.001$	174	
GG	125, OR 0.69 (0.49–0.98), $P = 0.036$	95	67, OR 1.75 (1.21–2.53), $P = 0.003$	129	

TABLE 7. Interaction Between VEGFA and VEGFR2 Genotypes With Hypertension in CHD Cases and Controls

CHD = coronary heart disease, OR = odds ratio, Ref. = reference, VEGFA = vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR2 = vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2.

(rs7667298 AG/GG) genotype as well as CTAA and risk of CHD with CCAA as the control (all P < 0.05) (Table 10).

DISCUSSION

CHD is the most common type of heart disease which is mainly caused by narrow coronary artery and inadequate blood supply. A large number of studies have suggested that the mechanism of CHD is linked with familial heredity. However, the etiological factors for CHD are still vague. VEGFA has been illustrated to promote the differentiation, proliferation, and migration of microvascular endothelial cells by binding to their receptors, thus facilitating microvessel formation and development.⁴³ Moreover, VEGF could not only promote the progress of blood vessel recanalization and the establishment of collateral circulation, but also enhance the dependent vasodilatation of the endothelial cells all of which are closely linked with CHD. In addition, it is reported that VEGFA might play a vital role during the process of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and regulate the formation of endocardial cushion.⁴⁴ Since VEGF is involved in many physiological activities, temporal and spatial expressions of VEGF should be precisely regulated to prevent abnormal VEGF expressions which could trigger cardiovascular disorder including CHD. The above hypothesis has been confirmed by animal models which suggested that appropriate timing and dosage of VEGF during heart development was of vital importance in order to avoid cardiovascular defects.45,46

Recently, several studies have investigated the relationship between *VEGFA* gene polymorphisms and CHD risk.^{41,44,47} A previous case–control study conducted by Wang et al⁴⁴ found that 2 VEGF SNPs (rs699947 and rs3025039) were not associated with CHD in a Chinese population. On the contrary, a study by Han et al⁴¹ indicated that *VEGFA* rs3025039 polymorphisms were significantly related with CHD risk among another Chinese population. Furthermore, a meta-analysis conducted by Griffin et al⁴⁷ indicated that *VEGFA* polymorphisms may not be associated with CHD. To further ascertain the association between SNPs within *VEGFA* and CHD, we genotyped three tag SNPs (rs699947, rs3025039, and rs1570360) in this study and discovered that all the 3 SNPs were remarkably correlated with the susceptibility to CHD.

Previous studies also have demonstrated that VEGFR2 which is a kind of tyrosine kinase receptor is essential for hematopoiesis and angiogenesis since it regulates the mitogenic and chemotactic roles of VEGFA in endothelial cells.⁴⁸ Subsequently, the combination of VEGFA and VEGFR2 is able to activate downstream signaling pathways (e.g., MAPK, Akt, and eNOS), which are essential for stimulating angiogenesis.⁴⁰ Furthermore, intralesion angiogenesis was associated with atherosclerotic plaques that could contribute to acute coronary syndromes.^{40,49} Hence, endothelial dysfunction and abnormal angiogenesis resulted from VEGFA and VEGFR2 may increase the risk of CHD. As suggested by Wang et al, *VEGFR2* polymorphisms may act as genetic markers for identifying CHD risk in a Chinese population,⁴⁰ indicating that 2 SNPs

VEGFA	VEGFR2	Cases	Controls	OR (95% CI)
rs699947	rs2305948			
CC	CC	29	31	Ref.
CC	CT	39	47	OR 1.13 (0.58–2.18), $P = 0.722$
CC	TT	86	44	OR 0.51 (0.28 -0.95), $P = 0.032$
CA	CC	71	88	OR 1.16 $(0.64-2.10)$, $P = 0.626$
CA	CT	95	135	OR 0.87 (0.48–1.55), $P = 0.630$
CA	TT	215	125	OR 0.38 (0.22–0.67), P < 0.001
AA	CC	52	86	OR 1.58 $(0.86-2.92)$, $P = 0.140$
AA	CT	69	132	OR 1.19 (0.66–2.17), $P = 0.562$
AA	TT	154	123	OR 0.75 $(0.43 - 1.31)$, $P = 0.306$
rs699947	rs1870377			
CC	TT	50	38	Ref.
CC	ТА	39	44	OR 1.48 (0.81–2.71), $P = 0.198$
CC	AA	65	39	OR 0.79 (0.44 -1.41), $P = 0.424$
CA	TT	124	110	OR 0.41 (0.24 -0.72), $P = 0.002$
CA	ТА	97	126	OR 1.71 (1.04–2.81), $P = 0.034$
CA	AA	160	110	OR 1.04 (0.64–1.68), $P = 0.885$
AA	TT	89	107	OR 1.58 (0.95–2.63), $P = 0.075$
AA	ТА	70	126	OR 2.01 (1.20 -3.38), $P = 0.008$
AA	AA	116	110	OR 1.21 $(0.74-1.99)$, $P = 0.722$
rs699947	rs7667298			
CC	AA	72	40	Ref.
CC	AG	45	46	OR 4.28 (2.54–7.20), P < 0.001
CC	GG	37	34	OR 5.21 (3.04–8.91), P < 0.001
CA	AA	180	117	OR 1.17 (0.75–1.84), $P = 0.495$
CA	AG	110	133	OR 1.55 (0.97–2.50), $P = 0.067$
CA	GG	91	95	OR 1.88 (1.61 -3.04), $P = 0.01$
AA	AA	130	117	OR 1.62 (1.02 -2.57), $P = 0.039$
AA	AG	80	133	OR 2.63 (1.63–4.25), P < 0.001
AA	GG	66	95	OR 2.59 $(1.57-4.26)$, $P < 0.001$

TABLE 8. The Combined Effects of Genetic Polymorphisms Within *VEGFA* (rs699947) and *VEGFR2* (rs2305948, rs1870377, and rs7667298) on Risk of Coronary Heart Disease

CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio, Ref. = reference, VEGFA = vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR2 = vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2.

of *VEGFR2* could significantly affect the binding efficiency of *VEGF* to *VEGFR2*. Nonetheless, another research revealed no significant association between *VEGFR2* and CHD in a Japanese population with Kawasaki disease.⁵⁰ In this study, we selected 3 SNPs of *VEGFR2* to investigate the relation between *VEGFR2* and CHD in a Chinese population, suggesting that *VEGFR2* polymorphisms (rs2305948, rs1870377, and rs7667298) were associated with CHD risk. Inconsistency between the 2 studies may arise from differences in genetic backgrounds, disease mechanisms, sample size, and other confounding factors.⁵¹

Of note, our results provided a comprehensive analysis of *VEGFA* and *VEGFR2* genetic polymorphisms in both CHD and non-CHD patients. Samples in this study were obtained from individuals with multiple cardiovascular risk factors because only few patients undergoing bypass surgery were found to have only one isolated risk factor. Therefore, a regression analysis was firstly conducted to confirm that SNPs of *VEGFA* or *VEGFR2* were still associated with the risk of CHD when the effects of smoking, alcoholic consumption, diabetes, or hypertension were excluded. The above risk factors could somewhat aggravate the incidence of CHD with the presence of hereditary factors. Furthermore, after excluding effects

imposed by SNPs of *VEGFA* or *VEGFR2*, mutations of SNPs within other genes could still elevate subjects' susceptibility to CHD.

Despite the above strengths, several limitations should be addressed with regard to this study. First of all, the retrospective nature of this study was featured by exploration of an established fact based on preexisting recordings, rather than a designed or randomized experiment beforehand (e.g., prospective studies). This characteristic may appear as a source of bias (e.g., information bias and selection bias) in that recalled baseline information (e.g., smoking severity and alcoholic consumption) might be affected by confounding factors, such as the participants' subjectivity during the process of data collection. Moreover, our sample size should be enlarged to further elucidate the role of VEGFA and VEGFR2 genetic polymorphisms in CHD risk. It was because that the distinctions of genetic factors and environmental backgrounds actually existed between the study group and Chinese populations of a larger size or other ethnic groups. Thus, the investigation results might not be applicable to other Chinese populations or additional ethnic groups. Besides, it could not be ignored that the uniqueness of samples might exaggerate the correlation between the studied 6 genetic polymorphisms and CHD risk, or

VEGFA	VEGFR2	Cases	Controls	OR (95% CI)
rs1570360	rs2305948			
AA	CC	72	54	Ref.
AA	CT	97	83	OR 1.14 (0.72 -1.81), $P = 0.573$
AA	TT	221	77	OR 0.46 (0.30–0.72), <i>P</i> < 0.001
AG	CC	69	116	OR 2.24 (1.41–3.56), <i>P</i> < 0.001
AG	CT	93	178	OR 2.55 (1.66–3.94), <i>P</i> < 0.001
AG	TT	210	165	OR 1.05 (0.70 -1.58), $P = 0.823$
GG	CC	9	35	OR 5.19 (2.30–11.69), P < 0.001
GG	CT	12	53	OR 5.89 (2.87–12.09), P < 0.001
GG	TT	27	50	OR 2.62 $(1.46-4.69), P = 0.001$
rs1570360	rs1870377			
AA	TT	125	64	Ref.
AA	ТА	99	78	OR 1.54 (1.01–2.35), $P = 0.045$
AA	AA	164	71	OR 0.85 (0.56 -1.28), $P = 0.423$
AG	TT	120	147	OR 2.39 (1.63–3.52), <i>P</i> < 0.001
AG	ТА	95	165	OR 3.39 (2.29–5.03), <i>P</i> < 0.001
AG	AA	159	147	OR 1.81 (1.24 -2.63), $P = 0.002$
GG	TT	16	44	OR 5.37 (2.81–10.26), P < 0.001
GG	ТА	12	50	OR 8.14 (4.05–16.36), P < 0.001
GG	AA	20	44	OR 4.30 (2.34–7.90), <i>P</i> < 0.001
rs1570360	rs7667298			
AA	AA	182	72	Ref.
AA	AG	113	81	OR 0.98 (0.63 -1.53), $P = 0.944$
AA	GG	93	59	OR 1.601.05 -2.45), $P = 0.029$
AG	AA	175	154	OR 2.22 (1.57–3.15), <i>P</i> < 0.001
AG	AG	108	175	OR 4.10 (2.85–5.89), <i>P</i> < 0.001
AG	GG	89	127	OR 3.61 (2.46–5.30), P < 0.001
GG	AA	24	45	OR 4.74 (2.69–8.35), P < 0.001
GG	AG	14	59	OR 10.65 (5.60–20.27), P < 0.001
GG	GG	12	38	OR 8.01 (3.96–16.19), P < 0.001

TABLE 9. The Combined Effect of Genetic Polymorphisms Within VEGFA (rs1570360) and VEGFR2 (rs2305948, rs1870377, and rs7667298) on Risk of Coronary Heart Disease

CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio, Ref. = reference, VEGFA = vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR2 = vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2.

TABLE 10. The Combined Effects of Genetic Polymorphisms Within VEGFA (rs3025039) and VEGFR2 (rs2305948, rs1870377, and
rs7667298) on Risk of Coronary Heart Disease

VEGFA	VEGFR2	Cases	Controls	OR (95% CI)
rs3025039	rs2305948			
CC	CC	89	160	Ref.
CC	CT	118	242	OR 0.04 (0.02–0.08), P < 0.001
CC	TT	266	222	OR 0.46 (0.34–0.64), P < 0.001
CT	CC	58	41	OR 0.39 (0.24–0.63), P < 0.001
СТ	CT	77	63	OR 0.46 (0.30–0.69), P < 0.001
CT	TT	173	58	OR 0.19 (0.13–0.28), P < 0.001
TT	CC	6	8	OR 0.74 (0.25–2.21), $P = 0.59$
TT	CT	8	8	OR 0.56 $(0.20-1.53)$, $P = 0.25$
TT	TT	17	8	OR 0.26 $(0.11-0.63)$, $P = 0.002$
rs3025039	rs1870377			
CC	TT	153	195	Ref.
CC	TA	121	225	OR 1.46 (1.07 -1.98), $P = 0.015$
CC	AA	198	203	OR 0.84 (0.62 -1.07), $P = 0.139$
CT	TT	100	52	OR 0.41 (0.28–0.61), P < 0.001
CT	ТА	79	60	OR 0.52 (0.34 -0.78), $P = 0.001$
CT	AA	129	52	OR 0.32 $(0.22-0.47)$, $P < 0.001$
TT	TT	10	8	OR 0.63 $(0.24-0.16)$, $P = 0.335$

VEGFA	VEGFR2	Cases	Controls	OR (95% CI)
TT	ТА	8	8	OR 0.78 (0.29–2.14), P=0.635
TT	AA	13	8	OR 0.48 (0.20 -1.20), $P = 0.109$
rs3025039	rs7667298			
CC	AA	223	208	Ref.
CC	AG	137	240	OR 0.06 (0.03–0.13), <i>P</i> < 0.001
CC	GG	113	175	OR 0.08 (0.04–0.16), P < 0.001
CT	AA	145	54	OR 0.40 (0.28–0.58), <i>P</i> < 0.001
СТ	AG	89	63	OR 0.76 (0.52 -1.10), $P = 0.148$
СТ	GG	73	45	OR 0.93 (0.63 -1.36), $P = 0.694$
TT	AA	14	8	OR 0.61 (0.25 -1.49), $P = 0.276$
TT	AG	9	8	OR 0.95 (0.36–2.52), $P = 0.923$
TT	GG	7	8	OR 0.95 (0.36–2.52), $P = 0.923$

CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio, Ref. = reference, VEGFA = vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR2 = vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2.

neglect the role of some other vital polymorphisms within *VEGFA* and *VEGFR2* in susceptibility to CHD. In addition, this study has not addressed other potential etiologies of CHD, especially for some other genes that are associated with *VEGFA* and *VEGFR2* (e.g., *CD14*). Therefore, further researches, including large prospective cohort studies or combined meta-analyses, should be designed to address these limitations.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the present study indicated that polymorphisms in VEGFA [rs3025039 (C>T), rs1570360 (A>G), and rs699947 (C>A)] and VEGFR2 [rs2305948 (C>T), rs1870377 (T>A), and rs7667298 (A>G)] were notably correlated with altered CHD susceptibility in the Han Chinese population, when potential effects of living habits (e.g., smoking and alcohol intake) or complications (e.g., hypertension and diabetes) were removed. Therefore, mutations of the SNPs could be applied clinically as genetic markers. Besides, harmful living habits could aggravate CHD development and relevant complications could also be CHD-causing parameters.

REFERENCES

- Huang CJ, Hsieh MH, Hou WH, et al. Depression, antidepressants, and the risk of coronary heart disease: a population-based cohort study. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;168:4711–4716.
- Yu Q, Shao H, He P, et al. World scientific collaboration in coronary heart disease research. Int J Cardiol. 2013;167:631–639.
- Williams RA. Cardiovascular disease in African American women: a health care disparities issue. J Natl Med Assoc. 2009;101:536–540.
- Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131:e29–e322.
- Gaziano TA, Bitton A, Anand S, et al. Growing epidemic of coronary heart disease in low- and middle-income countries. *Curr Probl Cardiol.* 2010;35:72–115.
- Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2014 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2014;129:e28–e292.
- Hrira MY, Chkioua L, Slimani A, et al. Hsp70-2 gene polymorphism: susceptibility implication in Tunisian patients with coronary artery disease. *Diagn Pathol.* 2012;7:88–92.
- Wang Q. Molecular genetics of coronary artery disease. Curr Opin Cardiol. 2005;20:182–188.

- Kitsios G, Zintzaras E. ACE (I/D) polymorphism and response to treatment in coronary artery disease: a comprehensive database and meta-analysis involving study quality evaluation. *BMC Med Genet*. 2009;10:50–65.
- Kangas-Kontio T, Huotari A, Ruotsalainen H, et al. Genetic and environmental determinants of total and high-molecular weight adiponectin in families with low HDL-cholesterol and early onset coronary heart disease. *Atherosclerosis.* 2010;210:479–485.
- 11. Arnett DK, Baird AE, Barkley RA, et al. Relevance of genetics and genomics for prevention and treatment of cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, the Stroke Council, and the Functional Genomics and Translational Biology Interdisciplinary Working Group. *Circulation*. 2007;115:2878–2901.
- Kondo H, Ninomiya T, Hata J, et al. Angiotensin I-converting enzyme gene polymorphism enhances the effect of hypercholesterolemia on the risk of coronary heart disease in a general Japanese population: the Hisayama study. *J Atheroscler Thromb.* 2015;22: 390–403.
- Song C, Liu B, Yang D, et al. Association between interleukin-6 gene -572G>C polymorphism and coronary heart disease. *Cell Biochem Biophys.* 2015;71:359–365.
- Huang Y, Ye H, Hong Q, et al. Association of CDKN2BAS polymorphism rs4977574 with coronary heart disease: a case-control study and a meta-analysis. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2014;15:17478–17492.
- Li Z, Jun Y, Zhong-Bao R, et al. Association between MTHFR C677T polymorphism and congenital heart disease. A family-based meta-analysis. *Herz.* 2015;40(Suppl. 2):160–167.
- Zain M, Awan FR, Cooper JA, et al. Association of TLL1 gene polymorphism (rs1503298, T > C) with coronary heart disease in PREDICT, UDACS and ED cohorts. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak*. 2014;24:615–619.
- Luo JY, Ma YT, Xie X, et al. Association of intercellular adhesion molecule1 gene polymorphism with coronary heart disease. *Mol Med Rep.* 2014;10:1343–1348.
- Fan SL, Li X, Chen SJ, et al. ABCA1 rs4149313 polymorphism and susceptibility to coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. *Ann Hum Genet*. 2014;78:264–276.
- Zhou K, Wang Y, Peng W, et al. Genetic variants of the endothelial NO synthase gene (eNOS) may confer increased risk of sporadic congenital heart disease. *Genet Mol Res.* 2014;13:3805– 3811.
- Santulli G. Angiopoietin-like proteins: a comprehensive look. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2014;5:4–9.

- D'Alessio A, Moccia F, Li JH, et al. Angiogenesis and vasculogenesis in health and disease. *Biomed Res Int.* 2015;2015:126582.
- Santulli G, Cipolletta E, Sorriento D, et al. CaMK4 gene deletion induces hypertension. J Am Heart Assoc. 2012;1:e001081.
- Lanni F, Santulli G, Izzo R, et al. The Pl(A1/A2) polymorphism of glycoprotein IIIa and cerebrovascular events in hypertension: increased risk of ischemic stroke in high-risk patients. *J Hypertens*. 2007;25:551–556.
- Galasso G, Santulli G, Piscione F, et al. The GPIIIA PIA2 polymorphism is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular adverse events. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2010;10:41–47.
- 25. Moradzadegan A, Vaisi-Raygani A, Nikzamir A, et al. Angiotensin converting enzyme insertion/deletion (I/D) (rs4646994) and Vegf polymorphism (+405G/C; rs2010963) in type II diabetic patients: association with the risk of coronary artery disease. *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst.* 2015;16:672–680.
- 26. Cai J, Ahmad S, Jiang WG, et al. Activation of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 sustains angiogenesis and Bcl-2 expression via the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway in endothelial cells. *Diabetes*. 2003;52:2959–2968.
- Holmes K, Roberts OL, Thomas AM, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2: structure, function, intracellular signalling and therapeutic inhibition. *Cell Signal.* 2007;19:2003–2012.
- Stuttfeld E, Ballmer-Hofer K. Structure and function of VEGF receptors. *IUBMB Life*. 2009;61:915–922.
- Matsumoto T, Mugishima H. Signal transduction via vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors and their roles in atherogenesis. *J Atheroscler Thromb.* 2006;13:130–135.
- Palmirotta R, Ferroni P, Ludovici G, et al. VEGF-A gene promoter polymorphisms and microvascular complications in patients with essential hypertension. *Clin Biochem.* 2010;43:1090–1095.
- 31. Kim JJ, Vaziri SA, Rini BI, et al. Association of VEGF and VEGFR2 single nucleotide polymorphisms with hypertension and clinical outcome in metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma patients treated with sunitinib. *Cancer.* 2012;118:1946–1954.
- 32. Jain L, Sissung TM, Danesi R, et al. Hypertension and hand-foot skin reactions related to VEGFR2 genotype and improved clinical outcome following bevacizumab and sorafenib. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;29:95–102.
- Shahin RM, Abdelhakim MA, Owid ME, et al. A study of VEGF gene polymorphism in Egyptian patients with diabetic retinopathy. *Ophthalmic Genet.* 2015:1–6.
- Biselli PM, Guerzoni AR, de Godoy MF, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor genetic variability and coronary artery disease in Brazilian population. *Heart Vessels*. 2008;23:371–375.
- 35. Jiang Y, Tang JY, Wu Y, et al. [Vascular endothelial growth factor gene polymorphisms and the risk of endometriosis: a systematic review]. *Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi.* 2012;47: 179–184.

- 36. Bleda S, De Haro J, Varela C, et al. Impact of VEGF polymorphisms on the severity of peripheral artery disease in diabetic patients. *Growth Factors*. 2012;30:277–282.
- Liu C, Zhou X, Gao F, et al. Correlation of genetic polymorphism of vascular endothelial growth factor gene with susceptibility to lung cancer. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2015;22:312–316.
- Szarejko-Paradowska A, Gluba-Brzozka A, Pietruszynski R, et al. Assessment of the relationship between selected cardiovascular risk factors and the indices of intima-media thickness and coronary artery calcium score in various stages of chronic kidney disease. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2015;47:2003–2012.
- Elshabrawy HA, Chen Z, Volin MV, et al. The pathogenic role of angiogenesis in rheumatoid arthritis. *Angiogenesis*. 2015;18:433–448.
- Wang Y, Zheng Y, Zhang W, et al. Polymorphisms of KDR gene are associated with coronary heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50:760–767.
- Han X, Liu L, Niu J, et al. Association between VEGF polymorphisms (936c/t, -460t/c and -634 g/c) with haplotypes and coronary heart disease susceptibility. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* 2015;8:922–927.
- Glas J, Seiderer J, Bues S, et al. IRGM variants and susceptibility to inflammatory bowel disease in the German population. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e54338.
- Tettamanti G, Malagoli D, Benelli R, et al. Growth factors and chemokines: a comparative functional approach between invertebrates and vertebrates. *Curr Med Chem.* 2006;13:2737–2750.
- 44. Wang E, Wang Z, Liu S, et al. Polymorphisms of VEGF, TGFbeta1, TGFbetaR2 and conotruncal heart defects in a Chinese population. *Mol Biol Rep.* 2014;41:1763–1770.
- Miquerol L, Langille BL, Nagy A. Embryonic development is disrupted by modest increases in vascular endothelial growth factor gene expression. *Development*. 2000;127:3941–3946.
- Carmeliet P, Ferreira V, Breier G, et al. Abnormal blood vessel development and lethality in embryos lacking a single VEGF allele. *Nature*. 1996;380:435–439.
- Griffin HR, Hall DH, Topf A, et al. Genetic variation in VEGF does not contribute significantly to the risk of congenital cardiovascular malformation. *PLoS One.* 2009;4:e4978.
- Zhong S, Wu J, Cui Y, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor from Trimeresurus jerdonii venom specifically binds to VEGFR-2. *Biochimie*. 2015;116:1–7.
- Tenaglia AN, Peters KG, Sketch MH Jr et al. Neovascularization in atherectomy specimens from patients with unstable angina: implications for pathogenesis of unstable angina. *Am Heart J.* 1998;135:10–14.
- Kariyazono H, Ohno T, Khajoee V, et al. Association of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and VEGF receptor gene polymorphisms with coronary artery lesions of Kawasaki disease. *Pediatr Res.* 2004;56:953–959.
- International HapMap Consortium. The International HapMap Project. *Nature*. 2003;426:789–796.